

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/305,722	05/05/1999	ZHENAN BAO	BAO-9-1-13	2552
28221	7590 03/05/2003			
GLEN E. BOOKS, ESQ. LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC 65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			ALANKO, ANITA KAREN	
ROSELAND, NJ 07068				
KODEDI II VD,	, 1.13		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003	7 2
				de

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/305,722	BAO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Anita K Alanko	1765	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the maility ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS 706.07(f).	ing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amo 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origina (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed with 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis	•
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal issues for appeal; and/or	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding num	nber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitte canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has be application in condition for allowance because:	en considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed S raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	OLELY to issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be enter explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the context of t	•
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 3-5 7-9 11-12 19</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>13-15 20-22</u> .	
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) \square approved or b) \square	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)
10. Other:	
	Anita K. Hanko Anita K Alanko Primary Examiner
	Art Unit: 1765

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: replacing a term by its abbreviation (self-assembled monoloyer & SAMS) does not overcome the rejection. Examiner repeats the arguments from the previous advisory action, page 6, lines 3-7 of the specification recites to use inks to print SAMS onto a substrate, it does not recite to form a SAM on the stamp, as is claimed. The claim cites "a self-assembled monolayer ink" not a SAM-forming ink. The stamp is soaked in a solution containing a SAM-forming molecular species. The SAM is formed on the substrate, not on the stamp.

The prior art does not teach to form a SAMS ink on a stamp. If the claim was amended to cite a SAMS-forming ink, then that would over come the new matter rejection but would also be a new issue for consideration because a SAMS-forming ink is different from a SAMS ink. See also the remarks of the final rejection. Examiner does not consider applying an organic semiconductor layer to a substrate to be novel. Organic semiconductors are well known as is evidenced, for example, by whole journals devoted to the subject ("Synthetic Metals"). The claim is not limited to an organic transistor since the preamble is given no patentable weight. The body of the claim itself is also not limited to an organic transistor since forming an organic semiconductor does not by itself form a transistor. Other layers are needed to form the transistor.